BETWEEN TEMPTATIONS OF EXPONENTIAL TECHNOLOGY GROWTH AND THE CONCEPT OF HUMAN CITY

PRACTICE MAKES SMARTER? A FOCUS ON TURKISH AND SLOVAK SMART CITY PRACTICES

SILA CEREN VARIȘ & MİLAN HUSAR



ORTA DOĞU TEKNİK ÜNİVERSİTESİ MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY







Table of Contents

- Introduction
- Defining Smart Cities
- Threats of Smart Cities
- Smart Cities in Turkey
- Smart Cities in Slovakia
- Discussion







BETWEEN TEMPTATIONS OF EXPONENTIAL TECHNOLOGY GROWTH AND THE CONCEPT OF HUMAN CITY

Introduction

From garden city...sustainable city...green city...just city...liveable city...

...to SMART city

ever-increasing complexity of urban systems whose management is creating urgency to look for more efficient ways to manage contemporary urban challenges (*Nam & Pardo, 2011*)

Objective

To analyze, examine and compare the development of smart city concept in Turkey and Slovakia from the point of view of smart development a.k.a. what is smart there?

How is the concept of smart city understood in both countries?

Critical assessment of non-critical use of the term smart city and interpretation of some actors in the public and private sector







BETWEEN TEMPTATIONS OF EXPONENTIAL TECHNOLOGY GROWTH AND THE CONCEPT OF HUMAN CITY

SMART ECONOMY	SMART PEOPLE
(Competitiveness)	(Social and Human Capital)
 Innovative spirit Entrepreneurship Economic image & trademarks Productivity Flexibility of labour market International embeddedness Ability to transform 	 Level of qualification Affinity to life long learning Social and ethnic plurality Flexibility Creativity Cosmopolitanism/Open- mindedness Participation in public life
SMART GOVERNANCE	SMART MOBILITY
(Participation)	(Transport and ICT)
 Participation in decision-making Public and social services Transparent governance Political strategies & perspectives 	 Local accessibility (Inter-)national accessibility Availability of ICT-infrastructure Sustainable, innovative and safe transport systems
SMART ENVIRONMENT	SMART LIVING
(Natural resources)	(Quality of life)
 Attractivity of natural conditions Pollution Environmental protection Sustainable resource management 	 Cultural facilities Health conditions Individual safety Housing quality Education facilities Touristic attractivity Social cohesion



(Finka et al, 2017)

(Giffinger et al, 2007)



(The Climate Group, Accenture, ARUP, Horizon, 2012)

Threats of Smart Cities

- a **panacea** for all urban ills (Varghese, 2016; Odendaal, 2015) & being '**trendy**' can hardly be the only justifying parameter
- being abstracted from social and cultural ties with their **built environment rendered obsolete**, and their overall existence undermined by technology (*Greenfeld*, 2013; work of Graham and Marvin)
- "death of cities, which are nothing more than leftover baggage from the industrial era" (*Mitchell, 1999:157*)
- "[technologies] can be a veil, obfuscating the broader agenda and processes of neoliberalization and accumulation by dispossession that may disadvantage citizens in the long run" (Leszczynski & Kitchin, in press, In Kitchin et al, 2018)
- failing to make people smarter as they do not enhance one's ability to think for himself and communicate with others as technology is rather trying to supersede these capabilities and in practice might inhibit them (Sennett, 2012)







Smart City Concept in Turkey

8 cities are expected to reach a certain sustainability level in terms of smartness by the year of 2025 (Frost & Sulivan report)

National Level

□ 5-Year-Development-Plans – Only 10th Plan 2014-2018 «Urban transformation and transport»

National Smart Cities Strategy and Action Plan 2019-2022 «Smart city ecosystem, Massive investments, Turkey's capacity in terms of smart city practices»

Local Level

Regional Development Agencies «advisory role, funding for single projects»

State Provincial Organizations «administrative role»

Municipalities «the real responsible for smart city applications, public procurement, financing issues»







Case Studies by Function and Population

□İstanbul – Metropol (15 M people)

Ankara – Capital city (5.4 M people)

□İzmir – Harbor and Manufacturing city (4.2 M people)

Antalya – Harbor and Touristic city (2.4 M people)

Bursa – Manufacturing city (1.8 M people)

Adana – Harbor Logistics and Agriculture city (1.7 M people)

Eskişehir – Central Anatolia University city (800.000 people)

Denizli – Manufacturing city (550.000 people)



ORTA DOĞU TEKNİK ÜNİVERSİTESİ MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY







LOCATION OF CITIES

BETWEEN TEMPTATIONS OF EXPONENTIAL TECHNOLOGY GROWTH AND THE CONCEPT OF HUMAN CITY

Key notions from Turkish cases

- Strong position of the public sector, subcontracts to private companies
- RDAs supporting projects by grants
- □ Formal involvement of citizens esp. ex post
- □ Focus of the projects: transportation, digitalization, water, urban transformation

□ Rise of e-government services







Bratislava Smart City Strategy

600 000+ daily visitors, part of Vienna-Bratislava metropolitan region

Bratislava Smart City 2030 (*September 2018)

□ 3P strategy, 12 key action areas (city governance, energy, mobility, public spaces etc)

Roadmap with actions in three time horizons, little regard for detailed time plan

28 smart benches Steora and Steora Urban+

New mayor, new focus



ORTA DOĞU TEKNİK ÜNİVERSİTESİ MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY





Nitra Smart City Strategy

78 000+ inhabitants, 100km from Bratislava, Land Rover Jaguar car factory

□ "First Smart City in Slovakia" (*2016)

4 key principles: human/social capital investments; infrastructure (transportation, ICT) investments; intelligent energy management; use of modern technologies and solutions

objectives to safeguard the vision: urban mobility; quality of life; intelligent energy; energy management

bike sharing, car sharing, LED lighting, smart benches (20,607EUR for 6)

E-government platform with 154 electronic services

Absenting roadmap



ORTA DOĞU TEKNİK ÜNİVERSİTESİ MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY





Discussion

Big market for newly established smart city projects

Considerable problem for the implementation and acceptance of smart city interventions as **the number of beneficiaries** is limited and profits are shared with narrow group of individuals.

Urban and rural areas distribution

Main focus is transportation/mobility







Discussion

Smart is the **new green**

- Infusing the intelligence into each subsystem of a city **not enough** to become a smarter city
- Smart city of **2019** vs smart city of **1999**?
- Smart city in the city **strategy** vs smart city in **practice**
- □ Part of **political agenda**?
- Concepts tend to expire and the new ones are to replace the old ones...what will be the new craze?







THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

Teşekkür ederiz 😳

Ďakujeme 🙂



This contribution was supported by HORIZON 2020 project MAKING CITY No.: 824418.

References

Akgül, M. K. (2013), "Kentlerin E-Dönüşümü: Akıllı Kentler", Anahtar Dergisi, Mart, S.291, http://anahtar.sanayi.gov.tr, 12.10.2017.

□Albino, V., Berardi, U. & Dangelico, R. M. (2015). Smart cities: definitions, dimensions, and performance. Journal Of Urban Technology, 22(1), 3-21.

Althusser, L. (1971). Lenin and Philosophy and Other Essays. Trans. Brewster B. London: NLB.

Arroyo, F. L., de la Fuente Prieto, J., & Perea, E. C. (2018). Connect Smart Cities and Heritage Through Augmented Reality. In State of the Art Virtual Reality and Augmented Reality Knowhow. IntechOpen.

Bilici, Z., & Babahanoğlu, V. (2018) Akıllı Kent Uygulamaları ve Konya Örneği. Akademik Yaklaşımlar Dergisi, 9(2), 124-139.

Chourabi, H., Nam, T., Walker, S., Gil-Garcia, J. R., Mellouli, S., Nahon, K., et al. (2012). Understanding smart cities: An integrative framework. Hawaii international conference on system sciences, 3(Conf 45), 2289–2297.



ORTA DOĞU TEKNİK ÜNİVERSİTESİ MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY



